|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| OPTION | DECSRIPTION | PROS | CONS |
| PUSH IAS | * MT for agreement between CRs * Gaining CR submits 814\_XA DROP transaction to ERCOT with ”IA” indicator and MT# segment * ERCOT validates regain date and pushes 814\_03 with “IA” and MT# segments to TDSPs * ERCOT sends 814\_XB (similar to 814\_14 /814\_32 or 814\_22 – notification) to Losing CR | * Gaining CR in control of flow with submitting 814\_XA DROP * Transparency of 814\_XA in MIS of IAG activity on an ESI * IA indicator for TDSPs to program systems to handle forward or backdated MVI and streamline billing * Automate regain date validation of DOL+1 or submitted date if less than Date of MT + 10 * Creating new transaction w/ new logic | * Losing CR would need to be prepared to receive the “DROP” 814\_XB * With validations on regain date – communication with Siebel system * No ability to cancel if submitted in error |
| PUSH RESCISSION | * Customer contacts Gaining CR and rescinds offer * Gaining CR submits 814\_XA DROP transaction with “RES” indicator * ERCOT validates regain date (DOL+1 automatically) and checks for leap frog scenario and pushes 814\_03 with “RES” indicator to TDSPs * ERCOT sends 814\_XXR (similar to 814\_14/ 814\_32 or 814\_22 – pre-notification) to Losing CR * Validation of 814\_XA – submitted within 25 (?) days of OTRAN * Limited to Residential only | * Current rules conducive to automation – “no questions asked” and regain date = DOL+1 * Proposal to further limit rescission window down from 25 days * Work destruction of ~8000 MTs per year * Shorten timeline for resolution of rescission – automated BDMVI submitted, less hand-offs, automated and limited billing corrections | * Losing CR would need to be prepared to receive the “DROP” 814\_XB * No MT for customer rescission as evidence * No ability to cancel if submitted in error * With validations on regain date – communication with Siebel system |
| PULL IAS | * MT for agreement between CRs * Losing CR submits 814\_16 with “IA” indicator and MT# segment * ERCOT validates regain date, MT # and checks for leap frog scenario and pushes 814\_03 with “IA” and MT# segments to TDSPs | * Leverages existing process w/ 814\_16 transaction * Adds more automated validations – regain date, correct MT #, leap frog situation, safety net conflict, switch hold set to pass * Ability to cancel | * No transparency with 814\_16 in MIS – no clear indicator of IAG activity * Leaves submission of BDMVI with “IA” indicator to Losing CR, not gaining efficiency on resolution timeline * Cost remains with Losing CR for issues caused by Gaining CR * Existing process with validations without efficiencies gained on timing * Cost benefit does not seem favorable |
| PULL RESCISSION | * MT for agreement between CRs * Losing CR submits 814\_01 with “IA” indicator and MT# segment * ERCOT validates regain date, MT # and checks for leap frog scenario and pushes 814\_03 with “IA” and MT# segments to TDSPs | * Leverages existing 814\_01 transaction * Adds more automated validations – regain date, correct MT #, leap frog situation, safety net conflict, switch hold set to pass * Ability to cancel | * Would still need a MT for agreement * No transparency with 814\_01 in MIS – no clear indicator of RESC activity * Leaves submission of BDSWI with “IA” indicator to Losing CR, not gaining efficiency on resolution timeline * Cost remains with Losing CR for issues caused by Gaining CR * Existing process with validations without efficiencies gained on timing * Cost benefit does not seem favorable |