|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PGRR Number | [106](https://www.ercot.com/mktrules/issues/PGRR106) | PGRR Title | Clarify Projects Included in Transmission Project Information and Tracking (TPIT) Report |
| Date of Action | June 24, 2024 |
| **Action** | Recommended Approval |
| Timeline | Normal |
| Estimated Impacts | Cost/Budgetary: NoneProject Duration: No project required |
| Proposed Effective Date | The first of the month following Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUCT) approval |
| Priority and Rank Assigned | Not applicable |
| Planning Guide Sections Requiring Revision  | 2.2, ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS6.4.1, Transmission Project Information and Tracking Report |
| Related Documents Requiring Revision/Related Revision Requests | None |
| Revision Description | This Planning Guide Revision Request (PGRR) defines transmission projects included in the Transmission Project Information and Tracking (TPIT) report. |
| Reason for Revision |  [Strategic Plan](https://www.ercot.com/files/docs/2023/08/25/ERCOT-Strategic-Plan-2024-2028.pdf) Objective 1 – Be an industry leader for grid reliability and resilience [Strategic Plan](https://www.ercot.com/files/docs/2023/08/25/ERCOT-Strategic-Plan-2024-2028.pdf) Objective 2 - Enhance the ERCOT region’s economic competitiveness with respect to trends in wholesale power rates and retail electricity prices to consumers [Strategic Plan](https://www.ercot.com/files/docs/2023/08/25/ERCOT-Strategic-Plan-2024-2028.pdf) Objective 3 - Advance ERCOT, Inc. as an independent leading industry expert and an employer of choice by fostering innovation, investing in our people, and emphasizing the importance of our mission General system and/or process improvement(s) Regulatory requirements ERCOT Board/PUCT Directive*(please select ONLY ONE – if more than one apply, please select the ONE that is most relevant)* |
| Justification of Reason for Revision and Market Impacts | This PGRR defines which transmission projects are included in the TPIT report by clarifying that the TPIT report consists of Tier 1, 2, 3, and 4 projects while exempting those projects that meet the criteria in paragraph (2) of Section 6.4.1. This will make it easier for Market Participants to understand what projects qualify for inclusion in the TPIT report. This PGRR will not change the report itself and there will be no impact to what is currently reported. |
| ROS Decision | On 5/4/23, ROS voted unanimously to table PGRR106 and refer the issue to the Steady State Working Group (SSWG). All Market Segments participated in the vote.On 5/2/24, ROS voted unanimously to recommended approval of PGRR106 as amended by the 12/12/23 ERCOT comments. All Market Segments participated in the vote.On 6/6/24, ROS voted unanimously to endorse and forward to TAC the 5/2/24 ROS Report and the 4/19/23 Impact Analysis for PGRR106. All Market Segments participated in the vote.  |
| Summary of ROS Discussion | On 5/4/23, ERCOT Staff presented PGRR106. On 5/2/24, participants reviewed the 12/12/23 ERCOT comments.On 6/6/24, participants reviewed the 4/19/23 Impact Analysis for PGRR106. |
| TAC Decision | On 6/24/24, TAC voted unanimously to recommend approval of PGRR106 as recommended by ROS in the 6/6/24 ROS Report. All Market Segments participated in the vote.  |
| Summary of TAC Discussion | On 6/24/24, there was no additional discussion beyond TAC review of the items below. |
| TAC Review/Justification of Recommendation |  Revision Request ties to Reason for Revision as explained in Justification  Impact Analysis reviewed and impacts are justified as explained in Justification Opinions were reviewed and discussed Comments were reviewed and discussed (if applicable) Other: (explain) |

|  |
| --- |
| **Opinions** |
| Credit Work Group Review | Not applicable |
| Independent Market Monitor Opinion | IMM has no opinion on PGRR106. |
| ERCOT Opinion | ERCOT supports approval of PGRR106. |
| ERCOT Market Impact Statement | ERCOT Staff has reviewed PGRR106 and believes it provides a positive market impact by enhancing transparency which would improve Market Participants’ understanding of projects that would qualify for inclusion in the TPIT Report.  |

|  |
| --- |
| Sponsor |
| Name | Eric Meier |
| E-mail Address | Eric.Meier@ercot.com  |
| Company | ERCOT |
| Phone Number | 512-248-6770 |
| Cell Number |  |
| Market Segment | Not Applicable  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Market Rules Staff Contact** |
| **Name** | Erin Wasik-Gutierrez |
| **E-Mail Address** | erin.wasik-gutierrez@ercot.com  |
| **Phone Number** | 413-886-2474 |
|  |  |
| **Comments Received** |
| **Comment Author** | **Comment Summary** |
| SSWG 083123 | Revised language to provide examples to add transparency on the types of planned transmission projects that may be included in the TPIT report as opposed to deleting the material impact language as proposed by ERCOT |
| ERCOT 121223 | Disagreed with the 8/31/23 SSWG comments and proposed a bright-line approach that would include all tier-type transmission projects except those exempted under paragraph (2) of Section 6.4.1. |
|  |  |
| **Market Rules Notes** |

None

|  |
| --- |
| Proposed Guide Language Revision |

**2.2 ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

**TPIT** Transmission Project and Information Tracking

6.4.1 Transmission Project Information and Tracking Report

(1) The ERCOT Transmission Project and Information Tracking (TPIT) report contains the status of the transmission projects (60 kV and above) that are classified as a Tier 1, 2, 3, or 4 project and are updated by the Steady State Working Group (SSWG). Projects that meet the criteria under paragraph (2) below may be excluded from the TPIT report.

(2) The transmission projects listed in the TPIT report are typically projects that are planned for completion by a Transmission Service Provider (TSP) within the near-term planning horizon. Projects that may not be listed in the TPIT report include:

(a) Any project that requires Regional Planning Group (RPG) review and has not completed the review process;

(b) Any project with a projected in-service date beyond the last year for which an ERCOT SSWG case is posted;

(c) Any project that consists of only a Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) or an Automatic Mitigation Plan (AMP) (which is not typically modeled);

(d) A minor physical change to a transmission line that does not affect the flow of power;

(e) An impedance change that can be reasonably attributed to a rounding error or recalculation of parameters with no actual construction;

(f) Any repair and replacement-in-kind project; or

(g) Any replacement of failed equipment with a rating and/or impedance change that will be included in the equipment owner’s next annual assessment.