|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NPRR Number | [1258](https://www.ercot.com/mktrules/issues/NPRR1258) | NPRR Title | TSP Performance Monitoring Update |
| Date of Decision | February 4, 2025 |
| Action | Recommended Approval |
| Timeline | Normal |
| Estimated Impacts | Cost/Budgetary: NoneProject Duration: No project required |
| Proposed Effective Date | First of the month following Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUCT) approval |
| Priority and Rank Assigned | Not applicable |
| Nodal Protocol Sections Requiring Revision  | 8.3, TSP Performance Monitoring and Compliance |
| Related Documents Requiring Revision/Related Revision Requests | None |
| Revision Description | This Nodal Protocol Revision Request (NPRR) removes language from Section 8.3 that is duplicative of requirements that are detailed in Section 3, Management Activities for the ERCOT System. The provisions at issue provide model update requirements that are designed to ensure network data is in Common Information Model (CIM) format and uses the required naming convention.  |
| Reason for Revision |  [Strategic Plan](https://www.ercot.com/files/docs/2023/08/25/ERCOT-Strategic-Plan-2024-2028.pdf) Objective 1 – Be an industry leader for grid reliability and resilience [Strategic Plan](https://www.ercot.com/files/docs/2023/08/25/ERCOT-Strategic-Plan-2024-2028.pdf) Objective 2 - Enhance the ERCOT region’s economic competitiveness with respect to trends in wholesale power rates and retail electricity prices to consumers [Strategic Plan](https://www.ercot.com/files/docs/2023/08/25/ERCOT-Strategic-Plan-2024-2028.pdf) Objective 3 - Advance ERCOT, Inc. as an independent leading industry expert and an employer of choice by fostering innovation, investing in our people, and emphasizing the importance of our mission General system and/or process improvement(s) Regulatory requirements ERCOT Board/PUCT Directive*(please select ONLY ONE – if more than one apply, please select the ONE that is most relevant)* |
| Justification of Reason for Revision and Market Impacts | ERCOT’s modeling systems automatically reject any submission that is not CIM compliant and any submissions where the naming convention is not adhered to. This automatic validation enforces the requirements in Section 3, rendering the naming convention and format requirements found in Section 8.3 duplicative and unnecessary.  |
| PRS Decision | On 11/14/24, PRS voted unanimously to recommend approval of NPRR1258 as submitted. All Market Segments participated in the vote.On 12/12/24, PRS voted unanimously to endorse and forward to TAC the 11/14/24 PRS Report and 10/29/24 Impact Analysis for NPRR1258. All Market Segments participated in the vote. |
| Summary of PRS Discussion | On 11/14/24, PRS reviewed NPRR1258.On 12/12/24, PRS reviewed the 10/29/24 Impact Analysis. |
| TAC Decision | On 1/22/25, TAC voted unanimously to recommend approval of NPRR1258 as recommended by PRS in the 12/12/24 PRS Report. All Market Segments participated in the vote.  |
| Summary of TAC Discussion | On 1/22/25, there was no additional discussion beyond TAC review of the items below. |
| TAC Review/Justification of Recommendation |  Revision Request ties to Reason for Revision as explained in Justification  Impact Analysis reviewed and impacts are justified as explained in Justification Opinions were reviewed and discussed Comments were reviewed and discussed (if applicable) Other: (explain) |
| ERCOT Board Decision | On 2/4/25, the ERCOT Board voted unanimously to recommend approval of NPRR1258 as recommended by TAC in the 1/22/25 TAC Report. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Opinions** |
| **Credit Review** | ERCOT Credit Staff and the Credit Finance Sub Group (CFSG) have reviewed NPRR1258 and do not believe that it requires changes to credit monitoring activity or the calculation of liability. |
| **Independent Market Monitor Opinion** | IMM has no opinion on NPRR1258. |
| **ERCOT Opinion** | ERCOT supports approval of NPRR1258. |
| **ERCOT Market Impact Statement** | ERCOT Staff has reviewed NPRR1258 and believes that it provides a positive market impact through general system improvements by removing duplicative language regarding network data naming convention and formatting requirements.  |

|  |
| --- |
| Sponsor |
| Name | Eric Meier |
| E-mail Address | eric.meier@ercot.com  |
| Company | ERCOT |
| Phone Number | 512-248-6770 |
| Cell Number |  |
| Market Segment | Not applicable |

|  |
| --- |
| **Market Rules Staff Contact** |
| **Name** | Jordan Troublefield |
| **E-Mail Address** | Jordan.Troublefield@ercot.com |
| **Phone Number** | 512-248-6521 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments Received** |
| **Comment Author** | **Comment Summary** |
| None |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Market Rules Notes |

None

|  |
| --- |
| Proposed Protocol Language Revision |

8.3 TSP Performance Monitoring and Compliance

(1) ERCOT shall develop a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)- and ERCOT Board-approved Transmission Service Provider (TSP) monitoring program to be included in the Operating Guides for TSPs regarding Real-Time data telemetry performance.

|  |
| --- |
| [NPRR857: Replace Section 8.3 above with the following upon system implementation and satisfying the following conditions: (1) Southern Cross provides ERCOT with funds to cover the entire estimated cost of the project; and (2) Southern Cross has signed an interconnection agreement with a TSP and the TSP gives ERCOT written notice that Southern Cross has provided it with: (a) Notice to proceed with the construction of the interconnection; and (b) The financial security required to fund the interconnection facilities:]**8.3 TSP and DCTO Performance Monitoring and Compliance**(1) ERCOT shall develop a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)- and ERCOT Board-approved Transmission Service Provider (TSP) and Direct Current Tie Operator (DCTO) monitoring program to be included in the Operating Guides for TSPs and DCTOs regarding Real-Time data telemetry performance. |